

	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL	46	-	48	=	0	\$ 18	\$0.00
INDEPENDENT	24	-	25	=	0	\$ 84	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM						\$280	\$0.00
						TOTAL	\$0.00


- ☐ Petition for () month(s) extension of time pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.17 and 1.136(a). \$0.00 for the extension of time.
- ☒ No fee is required.
- ☐ Check(s) in the amount of \$0.00 is(are) enclosed.
- ☐ Please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2448 in the amount of \$0.00. This form is submitted in triplicate.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By 
D. Richard Anderson, #40,439


DRA/CTB/lab
0905-0206P

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

Attachment(s)

(Rev. 09/30/02)



#79(118)
5563
JL

BOX AF
REPLY UNDER
37 C.F.R. § 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE 2624
PATENT
0905-0206P

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Yoshiko SHIIMORI et al. Conf. No.: 8134
Appl. No.: 09/107,486 Group: 2624
Filed: June 30, 1998 Examiner: K. Poon
For: IMAGE COMMUNICATION SYSTEM AND METHOD

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2003

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Technology Center 2600

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

April 29, 2003

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated January 29, 2003, reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 1-10, 12-20, 22-36, 38-43 and 45-50 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 5, 9, 10, 12, 13, 17-19, 23, 25-35, 41, 42 and 48 are independent claims.

I. THE CLAIMS SATISFY THE REQUIREMENTS OF

35 U.S.C. §112, 1st PARAGRAPH

The Office Action rejects claims 1-10, 12-18, 29 and 33 under 35 U.S.C. §112, 1st paragraph as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.